1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CHANDRA KISHOR, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-03-1219 LKK CMK 12 VS. 13 TOM L. CAREY, Respondent. 14 ORDER 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ 17 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 19 On March 28, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any 21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fifteen days. Neither 22 party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. Instead, both parties have filed 23 statements of non-opposition.¹ 24 25 ¹ The plaintiff named his filing "Delete & Stay Unexhausted Claim & Amended Petition." (See Docket No. 23.) The text of this document describes plaintiff's non-opposition 26

to the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations filed March 28, 2005.

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
2	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
3	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
4	proper analysis.
5	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
6	1. The findings and recommendations filed March 28, 2005, are adopted in full;
7	and
8	2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is dismissed without
9	prejudice.
10	DATED: September 16, 2005.
11	/s/Lawrence K. Karlton UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	